

Contents

1

RESEARCH OBJECTIVE / PROBLEM

2

VALUATION AND RISK FRAMEWORK

3

ANALYSIS, RESULTS, CONCLUSIONS

4

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR COMPANIES &
INVESTORS

5

RESEARCH EXTENSIONS



Impacts: Reiterating Research Objective

Identify risks that manifest themselves over the long term for mining companies that companies and investors may not effectively consider

- Identify key risk exposure pathways and mitigation strategies
- Develop probabilistic measures of risk factors & their valuation where possible from available data
- Assess potential mispricing or under/over statement of the risks
- Translate risks to financial risk measures (VAR, CVAR) where possible
- Integrate risks, mitigation strategies into a robust real options modeling framework for risk based valuation (with bounds)
- Assess & compare risk adjusted portfolios



Recommendations: What does this mean for Companies & Investors?

BIAS IN REPORTING / MISALIGNMENT OF INCENTIVES

Company-formulated estimates of reclamation costs are biased and tended to increase nearer to the end of the mine life

Consider potential bias in investment and decision processes

LOW PROBABILITY / HIGH IMPACT EVENTS

The risks associated with tailings dam failures can be to identify companies / assets most at risk.

Consider potential asset and portfolio level risk and uncertainty

LONG DURATION TEMPORAL TRENDS & CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

Certain companies are more exposed to climate extremes than others, based on the locations of their assets.

Even when a mine conforms to environmental regulations, significant contamination of water (even far away from site) can occur over time.

Quality and availability of water impacts social conflict. Parameters were identified that can help predict the likelihood of future conflicts.

Consider long-term implications on license to operate and clean-up costs

Consider potential impact on asset level production, capex, costs and timelines

Recommendations: What does this mean for Companies & Investors?

DATA / DISCLOSURE (COMPANY ACCOUNTABILITY)

- Long-term stakeholders need to be aware of risks that can slowly manifest themselves over time, such as cumulative pollution effects. Through better disclosure, investors will be able to identify and encourage companies to mitigate these risks before the problem escalates to a level that is unsolvable.
- Working with mining companies can help identify available data to help inform better analyses.

METHODOLOGY (INVESTOR / STAKEHOLDER ANALYSIS)

- Discounted cash flows should not be the only methodology relied upon for decision making. Our robust real options approach is superior for risk based valuation with limited data.
- Stakeholders can use a data driven approach to identify the likelihood of social conflict. The key parameters inducing conflict can be identified, which can help shape decision processes by investors, regulators and company management.

KEY CONCLUSIONS

- Investors, local communities, employees and regulators need to be cognizant that mine study work is prone to bias – different stakeholders need to find ways to hold mine management accountable for providing biased and consistently inaccurate information.
- Low-probability high-impact events can have a catastrophic impact on local communities and on company and portfolio valuations / returns. Long term stakeholders need to be cognizant of these risks in their decision processes and encourage better alignment between themselves and management.
- Certain companies are more exposed to climate extremes and social conflict than others, based on the locations of their assets among other factors.

Research Extensions: What other relevant projects are we working on at Columbia?

How prevalent is bias in other areas of mining company study work?

Focus on timelines, production, capex, opex and producing a method to standardize mining project “discounts to NAV”

How can we apply our findings from this analysis on mining to other industries?

Applying our conclusions / methodologies regarding real options & climate extremes to other industries

Can we identify “red flags” that cause problems for stakeholders early on before they materialize?

Using Bayes and other statistical methods to expand upon conclusions from the work with NBIM

Can we create a generalized model for water valuation to integrate into ordinary company decision making processes?

Working with Major Mining Company to develop a risk-based water valuation for mining, taking into consideration environmental and social aspects

How can we validate / increase accountability of mining company management for their disclosure / estimates?

Attempting to put forth metrics to objectively measure performance both over time and retrospectively, and identify additional data that can be disclosed by mining companies to help inform further analyses

How can we improve regulatory models to reduce cumulative effects and reduce social risk?

Developing Pilot Process for integrated monitoring, attribution and statistical control for Peru